☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supple	emental				
LRB Number 09-0049/1	Introduction Number AB-007	' 0				
Description Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appoplacement or protective services, background cexercise of rule-making authority	intment of a guardian of the person, and protecti hecks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring	ve ng the				
Fiscal Effect						
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Create New Appropriations Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Indeterminate Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue School Districts Instricts						
Fund Sources Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700	Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 2/25/200					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 2/25/2009

LRB Number	09-0049/1	Introduction Number	AB-0070	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective						
placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the						
exercise of rule-making authority						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

If this bill were to be enacted, it is not expected that it would generate such a significant number of cases as to have a fiscal effect.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

A long-range fiscal impact is not expected if this bill were to be enacted.

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	09-0049/1		Introd	duction N	Number	AB-007	'0
Adjudi placen	Description Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the exercise of rule-making authority							
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriatio vernment Costs e Costs sive Mandato	Reversions 3. Increpry Perm 4. Decr	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues enues ease Revenue missive Marrease Revenuenissive Mar	5.1 (andatory e	Increase Co to absorb wi Yes Decrease C Types of Loc Government Towns Counties School Districts	ithin agency s osts cal Units Affec	r's budget ☑ No ted ☐ Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
☐ GI	PR FED	PRO [PRS 🔲	SEG 🔲 SE	GS			
Agend	cy/Prepared l	Ву		Authorized S	Signature			Date
DO.1/1	Mark Binehar	t (608) 264-946	33	Mark Rineha	rt (608) 264	1-9463		3/5/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 3/5/2009

Ī	LRB Number	09-0049/1	Introduction Numb	er AB-0070	Estimate Type	Original

Description

Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the exercise of rule-making authority

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Wisconsin Statute 175.35 requires that all Wisconsin firearms dealers licensed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms conduct a mandatory background check on any person requesting to purchase a handgun. Firearm dealers call the Department of Justice's Handgun Hotline to determine whether a person is eligible to possess a firearm under state law.

2009 Assembly Bill 70 provides that, under certain circumstances, if a court orders commitment of a person under Chapter 51, appoints a guardian of a person under Chapter 54, or orders protective services or protective placement of a person under Chapter 55, and the court determines the person is prohibited from possessing a firearm under federal law, the court clerk shall notify DOJ of the prohibition. AB 70 further requires DOJ to check whether a person has been the subject of a court order or finding that is based on a determination that the person's mental health would render the person ineligible to possess a firearm under federal law. Finally, AB 70 requires DOJ to convey information in a timely manner to the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS)regarding individuals ordered not to possess a firearm and regarding the cancellation of such orders.

It is DOJ's understanding that the Circuit Court Automation Programs (CCAP) case management system currently tracks the cases covered by AB 70. The Department has an existing interface with CCAP that allows DOJ's Crime Information Bureau (CIB) access to court records. However, to be able to accept the court information ordered sent to DOJ under AB 70, DOJ will have to make certain modifications to the existing CCAP interface and data files in CIB. In addition, while DOJ also has an existing interface with NICS, to be able to convey the court information ordered sent to NICS, DOJ will have to make certain modifications to the existing NICS interface and data files in CIB as well. The department estimates a one-time cost of approximately \$90,000 for system analysis, design, programming and testing.

It should be noted, last year President Bush signed into law H.R. 2640, regarding criminal background checks for firearms purchases. The law authorizes financial incentives to states to increase their level of reporting of firearm purchase disqualifying information, including mental health data, to NICS. It is possible Wisconsin could receive federal funds to cover the costs associated with implementing the provisions of AB 70. However, there is uncertainty as to if/when any federal dollars would be realized or whether DOJ could secure grant money to offset already incurred costs under AB 70.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Original Dpdated	Corrected Sup	plemental					
LRB Number 09-0049/1	Introduction Number AB-00)70					
Description Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the exercise of rule-making authority							
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Appropriations Create New Appropriations No Local Government Costs Indeterminate No Local Government Costs Indeterminate Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Mandatory							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOJ/ Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	Mark Rinehart (608) 264-9463	2/12/2010					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 2/12/2010

LRB Number 09-0049/1	Introduction Number AB-0070	Estimate Type	Updated					
Description								
Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective								
placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

exercise of rule-making authority

Wisconsin Statute 175.35 requires that all Wisconsin firearms dealers licensed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms conduct a mandatory background check on any person requesting to purchase a handgun. Firearm dealers call the Department of Justice's Handgun Hotline to determine whether a person is eligible to possess a firearm under state law.

2009 Assembly Bill 70 provides that, under certain circumstances, if a court orders commitment of a person under Chapter 51, appoints a guardian of a person under Chapter 54, or orders protective services or protective placement of a person under Chapter 55, and the court determines the person is prohibited from possessing a firearm under federal law, the court clerk shall notify DOJ of the prohibition. AB 70 further requires DOJ to check whether a person has been the subject of a court order or finding that is based on a determination that the person's mental health would render the person ineligible to possess a firearm under federal law. Finally, AB 70 requires DOJ to convey information in a timely manner to the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS)regarding individuals ordered not to possess a firearm and regarding the cancellation of such orders.

It is DOJ's understanding that the Circuit Court Automation Programs (CCAP) case management system currently tracks the cases covered by AB 70. The Department has an existing interface with CCAP that allows DOJ's Crime Information Bureau (CIB) access to court records. However, to be able to accept the court information ordered sent to DOJ under AB 70, DOJ will have to make certain modifications to the existing CCAP interface and data files in CIB. In addition, while DOJ also has an existing interface with NICS, to be able to convey the court information ordered sent to NICS, DOJ will have to make certain modifications to the existing NICS interface and data files in CIB as well. The department estimates a one-time cost of approximately \$90,000 for system analysis, design, programming and testing. In addition, DOJ has little disk space left on its server infrastructure. If the provisions of AB 70 cause DOJ to exceed existing memory capacity, DOJ will incur hardware expenses.

This fiscal estimate anticipates DOJ being able to absorb these additional costs because last month the Office of Justice Assistance (OJA) confirmed with DOJ that OJA has received a 2009 federal National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIPS) grant which can be used to pay for the improvements that would be required under AB 70. OJA explained that the portion of the grant intended for these purposes is sufficient to cover DOJ's estimated costs for implementing the provisions of AB 70.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-0049/1		Intro	duction N	lumber	AB-007	0
placen	cations for inv	voluntary comn tive services, t king authority	nitment, appoi packground ch	intment of a g necks for the	uardian of the purchase of	ne person, a handguns, a	ınd protecti and requirir	ve ig the
Fiscal	Effect							****
Local:	No Local Gov Indeterminate	Existing tions Existing tions w Appropriatio vernment Costs	Reversible	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues enues	± t	ncrease Costo absorb with Yes Decrease Costo Sypes of Local Government of Towns	thin agency s osts al	's budget No
	2. Decreas	sive Mandato se Costs sive Mandato	4. Decr	nissive	e [☑ Counties ☐ School Districts	Others WTCS District	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
☐ GF	PR 🔲 FED	PRO [PRS 🔲	SEG SI	EGS			
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature			Date
SPD/I	Mike Tobin (6	08) 266-8259		Krista Ginge	r (608) 264-8	8572		2/25/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 2/25/2009

LRB Number	09-0049/1	Introduction Number	AB-0070	Estimate Type	Original		
Description Adjudications for involuntary commitment, appointment of a guardian of the person, and protective							
placement or protective services, background checks for the purchase of handguns, and requiring the exercise of rule-making authority							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. However, it would amend chapter 51, regarding mental-commitment proceedings, and chapter 55, regarding protective-services cases. The SPD provides representation in these proceedings. It does not appear that the bill would increase the number of chapter 51 proceedings in which the SPD would appoint counsel (this interpretation is based on the assumption that the courts would not interpret section 51.60 or section 55.105, Stats., to provide a right to SPD representation in a petition to restore the right to possess a firearm under federal law). Therefore, the SPD does not anticipate any increase in its caseload as a result of this proposal.

The bill amends the court's responsibilities and options in chapter 51 and 55 hearings regarding orders prohibiting possession of a firearm. These amendments could add attorney time in certain cases, which would then increase SPD costs. However, the SPD does not anticipate that a substantial number of cases would be affected.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications